

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,612	06/13/2001	Kenji Mukai	NAKI-BPI4	7017
7	590 12/17/2002			
Joseph W. Price PRICE, GESS & UBELL 2100 S.E. Main St., Ste. 250			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, HOA Q	
Irvine, CA 92	614		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2877	<u></u>
•			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

à		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Profession Comments		09/880,612	MUKAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoa Q. Pham	2877				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	September 2002 .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-83</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/880,612

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-7) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwaki et al (5,995,180).

Moriwaki et al discloses a method for evaluating whiteness of light from a light source comprising the steps of (a) calculating chroma (a*, b*) (column 10, lines 53-54), calculating whiteness from the chroma using an equation (1) w= aC + b ... (it is noted that the equation (4) of Moriwaki et al is in the same form of the equation (1) of the present invention). Moriwaki et al does not explicitly teach that the chroma is calculated by a method defined by the CIE 1997 Interim Color Appearance Model, however, it would have been obvious to use replace the calculating method of Moriwaki et al by this method because they are function in the same manner.

Application/Control Number: 09/880,612

Art Unit: 2877

Regarding claim 2, based on the equation (4), w is 100 when chroma (a*, b*) is zero and L is equal 100.

Regarding claim 3, see column 10, line 17 for w=51.

Regarding claims 4-6, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose the coefficients a and b.

4. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al (5,071,727).

Ikeda et al discloses a method for evaluating whiteness of light from a light source comprising the steps of (a) calculating chroma (C) (column 10, lines 20-21), calculating whiteness from the chroma using an equation (1) w= aC + b ... (it is noted that the equation in column 10, line 7 of lkeda et al is in the same form of the equation (1) of the present invention). Ikeda et al does not explicitly teach that the chroma is calculated by a method defined by the CIE 1997 Interim Color Appearance Model, however, it would have been obvious to use replace the calculating method of Ikeda et al by this method because they are function in the same manner.

Regarding claims 4-6, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose the coefficients a and b.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 7 is allowed.

Art Unit: 2877

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are relative to whiteness calculating device: Shigemoto (4,837,277), Yamaguchi et al (5,859,286), Nishino et al (4,469,798), Imura (6,020,959).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP

December 10, 2002